

Studying the Therapeutic Process by Observing Clinicians' In-Session Behaviour

Montserrat Montaño-Fidalgo, Elena M. Ruiz,* Ana Calero-Elvira and María Xesús Froján-Parga

Faculty of Psychology, Biological and Health Psychology, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

This paper presents a further step in the use and validation of a systematic, functional-analytic method of describing psychologists' verbal behaviour during therapy. We observed recordings from 92 clinical sessions of 19 adults (14 women and 5 men of Caucasian origin, with ages ranging from 19 to 51 years) treated by nine cognitive-behavioural therapists (eight women and one man, Caucasian as well, with ages ranging from 25 to 48 years). The therapists' verbal behaviour was codified and then classified according to its possible functionality. A cluster analysis of the data, followed by a discriminant analysis, showed that the therapists' verbal behaviour tended to aggregate around four types of session differentiated by their clinical objective (assessment, explanation, treatment and consolidation). These results confirm the validity of our method and enable us to further describe clinical phenomena by distinguishing psychologists' classes of clinically relevant activities. Specific learning mechanisms may be responsible for clinical change within each class. These issues should be analysed more closely when explaining therapeutic phenomena and when developing more effective forms of clinical intervention. Copyright © 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Key Practitioner Message:

- We described therapists' verbal behaviour in a focused fashion so as to develop new research methods that evaluate psychological work moment by moment.
- We performed a cluster analysis in order to evaluate how the therapists' verbal behaviour was distributed throughout the intervention. A discriminant analysis gave us further information about the statistical significance and possible nature of the clusters we observed.
- The therapists' verbal behaviour depended on current clinical objectives and could be classified into four classes of clinically relevant activities: evaluation, explanation, treatment and consolidation.
- Some of the therapist's verbalizations were more important than others when carrying out these clinically relevant activities.
- The distribution of the therapists' verbal behaviour across classes may provide us with clues regarding the functionality of their in-session verbal behaviour.

Copyright © 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Keywords: Process Research, Observation, cognitive-Behavioural Therapy, Verbal Behaviour, Clinical Activities

This study represents another step in the research programme that our group started about 10 years ago (Froján-Parga, Montaño-Fidalgo, & Calero-Elvira, 2006). The ultimate objective of our research programme is an in-depth analysis of the therapeutic process that would clarify its nature and mechanisms. From our perspective, reaching this objective entails identifying the learning processes that take place during the client-therapist interaction and contribute to clinical change. Elucidating these mechanisms of change could bring about a better

understanding of why people change in therapy and could promote the development of more effective clinical strategies. With this objective in mind, we have undertaken the lengthy task of analysing therapeutic interactions step by step, focusing on the therapist's verbal behaviour in the first place, then on the client's and finally on the interaction between therapist and client. Previous studies (Virués-Ortega, Montaño-Fidalgo, Froján-Parga, & Calero-Elvira, 2011) concerned multiple dyads observed in clinical settings; here, we take this approach one step further with a new study and a wider sample.

Throughout the last decades, several studies, literature reviews and meta-analyses have aimed at clarifying the therapeutic process, but they have yielded no definitive evidence as to how or why clinical interventions produce

*Correspondence to: Elena M. Ruiz, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (Campus Cantoblanco), Faculty of Psychology, C/ Iván Pavlov no. 6, Madrid 28049, Spain.

E-mail: elena.ruiz.sancho@uam.es

- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed). New York: Prentice Hall.
- Hayes, S. C., Barnes-Holmes, D., & Roche, B. (Eds.) (2001), Relational frame theory. A post-Skinnerian account of human language and cognition. New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Hayes, S. C., Blackledge, J. T., & Barnes-Holmes, D. (2001). Language and cognition: Constructing an alternative approach within the behavioral tradition. In S. C. Hayes, D. Barnes-Holmes, & B. Roche (Eds.), Relational frame theory. A post-Skinnerian account of human language and cognition (pp. 3–20). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Hill, C. E., Charles, D., & Reed, K. G. (1981). A longitudinal analysis of changes in counselling skills during doctoral training in counselling psychology. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 28, 428–436.
- Hill, C. E., Nutt, E. A., & Jackson, S. (1994). Trends in psychotherapy process research: Samples, measures, researchers and classic publications. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 41(3), 364–377. doi: 10.1037/0022-0167.41.3.364
- Kazdin, A. E. (2009). Understanding how and why psychotherapy leads to change. Psychotherapy Research, 19(4-5), 418–428.
- Kohlenberg, R. J., Kanter, J. W., Bolling, M. Y., Parker, C., & Tsai, M. (2002). Enhancing cognitive therapy for depression with Functional Analytic Psychotherapy: Treatment guidelines and empirical findings. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 9, 213–229.
- Kuutmann, K., & Hilsenroth, M. J. (2012). Exploring in-session focus on the patient–therapist relationship: Patient characteristics, process and outcome. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 19(3), 187–202. doi: 10.1002/cpp.743.
- Lambert, M. J. (2013). Bergin and Garfield's handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change (6th ed). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Lampropoulos, G. K. (2000). Evolving psychotherapy integration: Eclectic selection and prescriptive applications of common factors in therapy. *Psychotherapy*, *37*(4), 285–297.
- Lorentzen, S., Bakali, J. V., Hersoug, A. G., Hagtvet, K. A., Ruud, T., & Høglend, P. (2012). Psychodynamic group psychotherapy: Impact of group length and therapist professional characteristics on development of therapeutic alliance. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 19 (3), 420–433. doi: 10.1002/cpp.758
- Luborsky, L. (1995). Are common factors across different psychotherapies the main explanation for the Dodo bird verdict that "everybody has won so all shall have prizes"? *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 2, 106–109.
- Manne, S., Winkel, G., Zaider, T., Rubin, S., Hernandez, E., & Bergman, C. (2010). Therapy processes and outcomes of psychological interventions for women diagnosed with gynecological cancers: A test of the generic process model of psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 78(2), 236–248. doi: 10.1037/a0018223
- Montaño, M. (2008). Observational studies of the psychologist's verbal behavior for the analysis of the therapeutic process.

- Unpublished doctoral thesis, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid.
- Ninness, H. A. C., Glenn, S. S., & Ellis, J. (1993). Assessment and treatment of emotional or behavioural disorders. Westport, CN: Praeger.
- Norcross, J. C. (Ed.) (2002). Psychotherapy relationships that work: Therapist contributions and responsiveness to patients. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Pardo, A., & Ruiz, M. A. (2002). SPSS 11. A guide for the analysis of data. Madrid: McGraw Hill.
- Rosenfarb, I. S. (1992). A behaviour analytic interpretation of the therapeutic relationship. *Psychological Record*, 42(3), 341–354.
- Roussos, A. (2001). Clinical inference and the elaboration of working hypotheses by psychotherapists: empirical study based on the use of techniques from the analysis of therapeutic processes. Published doctoral thesis. Belgrano University, Argentina.
- Russell, R. L., & Stiles, W. B. (1979). Categories for classifying language in psychotherapy. *Psychological Bulletin*, 86(2), 404–419.
- Ruiz, E. (2011). A functional approximation to the study of the verbal interaction in therapy. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Universidad Autónoma of Madrid, Madrid.
- Sarwer, D. B., & Sayers, S. L. (1998). Behavioral interviewing. In A. S. Bellack, & M. Hersen (Eds.), Behavioral assessment. A practical handbook (4th ed). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Skinner, B. F. (1957). Verbal behavior. New York, NY: Appelton-Century-Crofts.
- Stiles, W. B. (1979). Verbal response modes and psychotherapeutic technique. *Psychiatry*, 42, 49–62.
- Stiles, W. B. (1993). Los modos de respuesta verbal en la investigación del proceso de la psicoterapia. In I. Caro (Ed.), *Psicoterapia e investigación de procesos* (pp. 239–264). Valencia: Promolibro.
- Stiles, W. B., & Shapiro, D. A. (1995). Verbal exchange structure of a brief psychodynamic–interpersonal and cognitive–behavioral psychotherapy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 63(1), 15–27.
- Truax, C. B. (1966). Reinforcement and no reinforcement in Rogerian Psychotherapy. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 71, 1–9.
- Tsai, M., Kohlenberg, R. J., Kanter, J. W., Kohlenberg, B., Follete, W. C., & Callaghan, G. M. (2009). A guide to Functional Analytic Psychotherapy. Awareness, courage, love and behaviorism. New York, NY: Springer.
- Turkat, I. D. (Ed.) (1985). Behavioral case formulation. New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Virués-Ortega, J., Montaño-Fidalgo, M., Froján-Parga, M. X., & Calero-Elvira, A. (2011). Descriptive analysis of the verbal behavior of a therapist: A known-group validity analysis of the putative behavioral functions involved in clinical interaction. *Behavior Therapy* 42, 547–559. doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2010.12.004
- Wilson, K. G., & Blackledge, J. T. (2000). Recent developments in the behavioral analysis of language: Making sense of clinical phenomena. In M. J. Dougher (Ed.). Clinical behavior analysis (pp. 27–46). Reno, NV: Context Press.